



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/659,451

09/09/2003

Maria Villani

51331-00004

4939

45200

7590

12/02/2008

K&L Gates LLP

1900 MAIN STREET, SUITE 600

IRVINE, CA 92614-7319

EXAMINER

SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1657

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/02/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/659,451	Applicant(s) VILLANI, MARIA	
	Examiner Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava	Art Unit 1657	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava, Examiner. (3) Mr. L. Cullman, Applicant's Representative.

(2) Dr. Jon Weber, SPE. (4) Ms. Maria Villani, Inventor.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Those of Record, especially, FAX dated 26 August 2008.

Claim(s) discussed: Those of Record.

Identification of prior art discussed: That of Record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant, Applicant's Representative and the Examiners discussed the Claims under examination in view of references of record, especially those faxed on 08/26/2008 and applicant's arguments of record. The Examiners agreed to look at all the relevant prior art references presented and consider the legal arguments regarding the rejections of record when applicant files a response to the Notice of Non-compliant amendment mailed 10/6/2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lisa J. Hobbs/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1657	/Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava/ Examiner, Art Unit 1657
--	--